Annex A to COCOM 3700.8

Items referred to the Sub-Committee for technical discussion:

1016 1051 1070*	1142 1145	1460 1485	1501 1502 1510	1601 1635 1648	1715 1720 1731	4481	New items:	100 Category: 200 " 500 "	2 1 2
1072 1081 * 1088			1514 1517 1518	1653 1658 1661	1757			List IV	ĩ
,			1520 1521	1668					
			1523 1525						
			1526 1529 1537						
			1541 1544						
			1545 1549						
			1558 1561						
			1565 1566						
			1568 1 57 2						
			1584						

State Dept. declassification & release instructions on file

^{*} Partial agreement reached: see Annex B.

Annex B to COCOM 3700.8

Details of items on which agreement has been reached:

<u>Item 1042</u>

The Committee agreed to delete this item.

Item 1070*

The Committee agreed to delete sub-items (a) and (b) of this item.

Item 1075

The Committee agreed to redefine this item as follows:

"Spin-forming machines, exceet those with a spindle drive motor of 25 h.p. or less."

Item 1081

The Committee agreed to redefine this items as follows:

"Machinery for use in the manufacture of aircraft, rockets and missiles, as follows:

- (a) Machinery specially designed for the working or forming of sheet, plate or extrusions for aircraft, rockets and missiles.
- (b) Machinery specially designed for skin milling for aircraft, rockets and missiles."

<u>Item 1133</u>

The Committee agreed to redefine this item as follows:

"Valves, cocks and pressure regulators, n.e.s., as follows:

- (a) Specially designed to operate at temperatures below -130°C, or
- (b) Having all flow contact surfaces made of any of the following materials:
 - 90 per cent or more tantalum, titanium or zirconium, either separately or combined;
 - (2) 50 per cent or more cobalt or molybdenum, either separately or combined;
 - (3) Polytetrafluoroethylene; polytrifluorochloroethylene."

^{*} The remaining sub-items were referred to the Sub-Committee.

The question of transferring this item to the Munitions List was referred to the Sub-Committee.

- 2 -

Annex B to COCOM 3700.8

<u>Item 1380</u>

The Committee agreed to redefine this item as follows:

"Centrifugal and axial flow compressors or blowers n.e.s. capable of an overall compression ratio of 2:1 or more coupled with a capacity of over 372,000 cu.ft./min. (10,534 m³/min.) or of an overall compression ratio of 3:1 or more coupled with a capacity of over 106,000 cu.ft./min. (3,000 m³/min.). (See also Item 4380 and A.E. Item 30)."

Item 1703(b)

The Committee agreed to delete sub-item (b) of this item.

<u>Item 1793</u>

The Committee agreed to delete this item.

Annex C to COCOM 3700.8

Initial positions adopted with regard to items referred to the Sub-Committee.

Item 1016

The Italian redefinition proposal was accepted by Canada, France, Japan, the Netherlands and the United Kingdom. Germany proposed raising the cut-off to 150,000 r.p.m., which was accepted by Italy.

Item 1051

The United Kingdom deletion proposal was accepted by all Delegations except the United States.

Item 1070

The Committee agreed to delete sub-items (a) and (b).
The United Kingdom proposal to delete sub-items (c) and (d) was accepted by Canada, France and Germany.

Item 1072

The United Kingdom deletion proposal was accepted by all Delegations except the United States.

Item 1081

The Committee agreed to redefine this item.
The French proposal to transfer it to the Munitions List as redefined was accepted by Italy. The Netherlands could agree to either List I or the Munitions List.

Item 1088

Germany submitted the following redefinition proposal:

Complete part (b) of the definition as follows: "except those machines which are capable only of manufacturing straight spur gears".

<u>Item 1142</u>

The United States redefinition proposal was accepted by France, Germany, Italy, Japan and the Netherlands. The United Kingdom asked for further justification.

<u>Item 1145</u>

The German redefinition proposal was accepted by all Delegations except the United States, who were without instructions on this specific proposal. The United States redefinition proposal could be accepted by the Netherlands. The United Kingdom accepted the addition of fluorine but not the changed cut-off.

Item 1460

The United Kingdom redefinition proposal was accepted by France with certain amendments.

Item 1485

The United Kingdom redefinition proposal for sub-item (d) was accepted by Canada and opposed by France.

The United States redefinition proposal for sub-item (e) was accepted by Canada, France, Italy and the United Kingdom (the latter agreeing as an addition to their own wording) and for sub-item (h) was accepted by Italy and the United Kingdom.

Annex C to COCOM 3700.8

Electronics category

France reserved her position until November 9th on the whole of this category.

<u>Item 1501</u>

The United Kingdom redefinition proposal was accepted by Canada.

Item 1502

The United States redefinition proposal was accepted by Canada, Germany, Italy, Japan, the Netherlands (in principle) and the United Kingdom on condition that it did not extend the embargo to materials that was not already covered by Item 1548, 1549 and 1555. The United States gave an assurance that this was not their intention and undertook to examine the effect of their proposal in terms of this question.

Item 1510

Germany was invited to submit a redefinition proposal.

Item 1514

The United States redefinition proposal was accepted by Canada, Germany and Italy. The preliminary views of the Netherlands and the United Kingdom were favourable.

Item 1517

The United Kingdom redefinition proposal was accepted by Canada.

Item 1518

The United States redefinition proposal was accepted by Canada, Denmark, Germany, Italy, Japan and the United Kingdom. France pointed out the lack of precision in the term "suitable for use".

Item 1520

The United Kingdom feared that the German redefinition proposal might open a gap in the control of radio relay equipment. They were nevertheless ready to discuss the possibility of releasing sufficient quantities of equipment meeting the requirements of civil television networks.

Item 1521

The United Kingdom redefinition proposal for sub-item (a) was accepted by Canada, Denmark, Italy, Japan and the United States.

Replying to the German clarification question on sub-item (b), the United Kingdom understood the word "tuned" to mean "fixed". United States experts also considered "tuned" to mean "fixed": the Delegation would seek their authorities' confirmation.

Item 1523

The German redefinition proposal was opposed by the United Kingdom.

<u>Item 1525</u>

The Italian Delegation explained that their redefinition proposal would consist of a note referring to submarine cables similar to Note 2 to Item 1526.

<u>Item 1526</u>

The United Kingdom would be ready to concur if a suitable relaxation could be found after discussion.

Item 1529

The United Kingdom proposal to raise the frequency cut-off to 500 megacycles was accepted by the United States.

The French proposal to transfer sub-item (b) to Item 1593 was accepted by the United States.

<u>Item 1537</u>

The United Kingdom reserved their position on the United States redefinition proposal.

Item 1541

The United Kingdom reserved their position on the United States redefinition proposal.

Item 1544

The United Kingdom reserved their position on the German redefinition proposal.

Item 1545

The United Kingdom reserved their position on the German deletion proposal.

Item 1549

The United States deletion proposal was accepted by all Delegations except the United Kingdom. The United States noted that their proposal was predicated by the inability to identify tubes meeting this definition and would be interested in the views of other countries which led to different conclusions.

Item 1558

The United Kingdom reserved their position on the German and United States redefinition proposals.

<u>Item 1561</u>

The French Delegation pointed out that the present definition covered all raw materials as well as finished products.

Item 1565

This item was on the agenda as a result of recent discussions in the Committee (COCOM 3750).

<u>Item 1566</u>

The United States redefinition proposal was accepted by the Netherlands. The preliminary views of Italy and the United Kingdom were favourable.

Item 1568

The United States redefinition proposal was accepted by Germany.

Item 1572

The Gorman redefinition proposal was accepted with reservation by Canada, France, Italy and the United Kingdom.

Item 1584

The German redefinition proposal was accepted by the Netherlands.

<u>Item 1601</u>

The Italian redefinition proposals were accepted by Canada, France, Germany, Japan, the Netherlands and the United Kingdom.

Item 1635

The French redefinition proposal was accepted by Canada, Italy, Japan and the United States.

The United Kingdom could agree but would prefer to change the word "rapid". The Netherlands suggested "high speed tool" as a better translation of "rapide". The United States believed the Netherlands suggestion was valid and would seek confirmation.

<u>Item 1648</u>

The Belgian deletion proposal for cobalt metal was accepted by the United Kingdom. It was acceptable to Canada with reservations.

Item 1653

The Belgian deletion proposal was accepted by Canada, Denmark, France, Germany, Italy, Japan and the United Kingdom.

Item 1658

The French redefinition proposal was accepted by Canada, Germany (if Committee unanimous), Italy, Japan and the United Kingdom.

Item 1661

The French redefinition proposal was accepted by Canada and Italy. The German redefinition proposal was accepted by Canada, France and the United Kingdom.

The United States could accept the Franch proposal; they had not yet received specific instructions on the German proposal.

Item 1668

The United Kingdom, in reply to the German clarification question, explained that in the view of his authorities the word "wire" used in this definition meant "a rolled, drawn or extruded product of solid cross-section of any cross-sectional shape of which no cross-sectional diameter exceeds 6 mms."

Item 1715

The German redefinition proposal for sub-item (a) was accepted by Italy and the United States, the latter on the understanding that the word "including" meant "including but not limited to".

Item 1720

The Belgian deletion proposal was accepted by Canada, Denmark, Japan and the United Kingdom.

<u>Item 1731</u>

The German redefinition proposal was accepted by Italy and Japan. The United Kingdom submitted the following redefinition proposal:

"Hydrazine in concentrations of 70% or greater; hydrazine nitrate; unsymmetrical dimethyl hydrazine."

Item 1757

The German transfer proposal was acceptable to the United States and was opposed by the United Kingdom.

France proposed transfer to a new category for Metalloids, which was acceptable to Germany and the Netherlands. France was considering the possibility of including potaged from Selea to 1201/08/28 to GUA; RDP62-00647A000100160004-1

- 5 -

Annex C to COCOM 3700.8

Item 4481

The United Kingdom could accept the transfer to this item of equipment which might eventually be excluded from Item 1526.

Atomic Energy List Item 11

Germany reserved the right to return to this matter at the end of the List I discussions.

New Chemicals and Petroleum Equipment Item No. 1

The United Kingdom opposed sub-items (a) and (b) and reserved their position on sub-item (c) of the new United States proposal.

New Chemicals and Petroleum Equipment Item No. 2

The preliminary view of the United Kingdom was that there might be a case for the United States proposal.

New Electrical and Power Generating Equipment Item

The preliminary view of the United Kingdom was that there might be a case for the United States proposal.

New Electronics Item No. 1

The United Kingdom were in sympathy with the United States proposal subject to further discussion.

New Electronics Item No. 2

The United States proposal was acceptable in principle to the United Kingdom, who reserved the right to submit a fresh proposal.

New List IV Item

The United States proposal was accepted by Italy and opposed by the United Kingdom.